

Interagency Group/Countermeasures

Washington, D.C. 20505

* D/ICS-83-0769
29 November 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR: L. Britt Snider
Director, CI and Security Policy
ODUSD(P)

STAT → [REDACTED]
Chairman, DCI Security Committee

STAT FROM: [REDACTED]
Executive Secretary

SUBJECT: Proposed Damage Assessment Paper

REFERENCES: a. Memorandum: Minutes of Eighth IG/CM Meeting of
5 October 1983 dated 24 October 1983 (D/ICS-83-0760)
b. Memorandum: Ninth IG/CM Meeting and Agenda dated
22 November 1983 (D/ICS-83-0768)

1. Reference a. requested IG/CM participants to comment on a proposed damage assessment paper. Comments received to date are attached.

2. Reference b., in part, reflects that the cited paper is a scheduled agenda item for the IG/CM meeting of 7 December 1983.

3. Request addressees examine the attached comments and complete action necessary to permit review and discussion of a synthesized paper at the 7 December IG/CM meeting.

STAT

Attachments:
a/s



11/30

IC STAFF COMMENTS ON DAMAGE ASSESSMENT GUIDELINES

1. Re paragraph 1 (section 2001.47):

a. The language of ISOO Directive No. 1 leaves a question as to who has the responsibility for conduct of the damage assessment after the agency originating the document is advised that a compromise has occurred. The Guidelines paper should clear up this question by specifically stating who has the damage assessment responsibility.

b. The operative sentence of ISOO Directive No. 1 pertaining to notification to the originator of a classified document states the originator will be notified of the "... loss or possible compromise ...". The language of the relevant sentence in paragraph 1 should be changed to use that language. It would avoid interpretation that only after a compromise has been confirmed need the originator be so advised.

2. Re paragraph 3 (initiation of damage assessments):

a. Does first sentence mean to imply that damage assessments are only initiated when there has been a compromise? If so, is this what we want it to mean? *what does compromise mean?*

b. To help clarify who has the responsibility for doing the damage assessment, recommend the first sentence read: "As a minimum ... they have originating responsibility ... national security."

3. Re paragraph 4 (content of damage assessments):

a. Some statement should be made as to who receives the written damage assessment.

b. There should be language requiring such assessments to be passed to the agency conducting the initial loss/compromise inquiry. *in all cases?*

4. Re paragraph 6 (cases involving more than one department/agency):

What are the mechanics for an overall damage assessment when several documents belonging to different originating agencies are lost/compromised at same time. (For example, each document may be independently assessed at a lower level than they would if viewed jointly. Who should make such a determination?

?? STAT

MEMORANDUM
OF CALL

TO:

☒ YOU WERE CALLED BY— ☐ YOU WERE VISITED BY—

GERALD BEEKIN

OF (Organization)

NAVY

☐ PLEASE CALL → PHONE NO. CODE/EXT. ☐ FTS

☐ WILL CALL AGAIN ☐ IS WAITING TO SEE YOU

☐ RETURNED YOUR CALL ☐ WISHES AN APPOINTMENT

MESSAGE

**NAVY HAS NO PROBLEM
WITH DAMAGE ASSESSMENT
PAPER.**

RECEIVED BY

DATE

TIME

3 NOV 83

63-109

STANDARD FORM 63 (Rev. 8-76)
Prescribed by GSA

FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6

☆ GPO : 1981 O - 341-529 (138)

STAT